STATES OF JERSEY



ISLAND PLAN 2022-25: APPROVAL (P36/2021) – EIGHTY-SECOND AMENDMENT

POLICY GD5

Lodged au Greffe on 7th February 2022 by Deputy R. Labey of St. Helier

STATES GREFFE

2021 P.36/2021 Amd.(82)

ISLAND PLAN 2022-2025: APPROVAL (P.36/2021) – EIGHTY-SECOND AMENDMENT

1 PAGE 2 -

After the words "the draft Island Plan 2022-25" insert the words "except that within Policy $\mbox{GD5}$ –

- (a) the word 'and' should be removed from the end of point 1;
- (b) after point 2 there should be inserted the following –

"; or

- 3. There exists a demonstrable aesthetic and practical benefit to replace over refurbishment.";
- (c) in the final paragraph, delete the words "or sustainability" and insert the following
 - ", sustainability, aesthetic or practical"
- (d) the draft Island Plan 2022-25 should be further amended in such respects as may be necessary consequent to the adoption of (a) and (b)."

DEPUTY R. LABEY OF ST. HELIER

Note: After this amendment, the proposition would read as follows –

THE STATES are asked to decide whether they are of opinion -

to approve, in accordance with Article 3(1) of the Planning and Building (Jersey) Law 2002, as amended by the Covid-19 (Island Plan) (Jersey) Regulations 2021, the draft Island Plan 2022-25, except that -

- (a) the word 'and' should be removed from the end of point 1;
- (b) after point 2 there should be inserted the following –

"; or

- 3. There exists a demonstrable aesthetic and practical benefit to replace over refurbishment.";
- (c) in the final paragraph, delete the words "or sustainability" and insert the following
 - ", sustainability, aesthetic or practical"

the draft Island Plan 2022-25 should be further amended in such response may be necessary consequent to the adoption of (a) and (b).						

REPORT

There are many instances where renovation requires compromises that can deplete the worth of the home from a design point of view and prevent flexibility in the creation of a better layout.

In cases where zero architectural charm, merit or significance exits, retention can also block opportunities to unlock full plot potential.

This amendment is designed to allow those determining planning applications the ability to take a common sense approach, where no amount of architectural engineering or contrivance will transform the proverbial sow's ear into a silk purse.

Financial and manpower implications

There are no direct financial impacts of this amendment as it would affect future developments.

Child Rights Impact Assessment review

This amendment has been assessed in relation to the <u>Bridging Island Plan CRIA</u>. There is no direct impact on children caused by the amendment.