

## **Statement of Deputy G. Southern, Chairman, Shadow Scrutiny Panel**

### **Scrutiny Review: Migration: Monitoring and Regulation (P.25/2005)**

**Tuesday 10th May 2005**

In undertaking a review of the proposals in P.25/2005 on the monitoring and regulation of Migration in February, my Scrutiny Panel was conscious that the Policy and Resources Committee was keen to request a date for debate in the States in April. The Panel gave an undertaking to the Committee that it would set itself a tight timetable to report to the States on its review within two months, at the beginning of May.

The Panel was grateful that the Policy and Resources Committee was prepared to defer its request for a debate in order to give us the opportunity to conduct our review.

In the event, the Panel has found that its programme for public evidence sessions has taken longer than originally anticipated. In part, this was due to fact that the planned schedule of meetings immediately before Easter proved unrealistic for both Panel members and witnesses, given the States' workload during the same period. The Panel also felt, after carefully examining the transcripts from the witness sessions, that it was necessary to call further witnesses in order to clarify a number of issues arising from the evidence sessions.

The Panel has made very effort to keep within its promised deadline. However, it believes that it is essential to deal fully with the issues raised in the review and that it would ultimately be unhelpful to the States to produce a report which failed to examine key concerns with sufficient rigour.

The Panel is aware that the Policy and Resources Committee intends to ask for a debate on its proposals on 7th June and it will do all it can to finalise its report for presentation to the States two weeks before that date, on 24th May, in order to give members sufficient time to absorb its findings. I am aware, however, that this deadline will give no opportunity to lodge any amendments to the proposition should they choose to do so.

I should like to add one further comment. At present we are in a Shadow Scrutiny phase, which is designed to help members prepare for the full establishment of the Scrutiny function within the new ministerial system of government. An important lesson that has been learnt during this period is the need to build sufficient time into the Executive's programme for Scrutiny to examine key policy initiatives. Scrutiny should not be left as an afterthought but be made aware in good time of the Executive's future plans so that work programmes can be planned in advance and adequate time allocated to in depth enquiries.