STATES OF JERSEY



COST OF LIVING CRISIS – MEASURES AFFECTING ANDIUM HOMES RENT INCREASES

Lodged au Greffe on 13th September 2022 by Deputy R.J. Ward of St. Helier Central Earliest date for debate: 4th October 2022

STATES GREFFE

2022 P.92

PROPOSITION

THE STATES are asked to decide whether they are of opinion -

that tenants of Andium Homes who downsize within the Andium estate, or who move from one Andium property into another refurbished Andium home, should not face inappropriate sudden increases in rent upon moving and to request the Minister for Treasury and Resources, as shareholder representative, to instruct Andium Homes to implement a scheme whereby any increase in rent in such circumstances is suspended for 1 year from the start of the new tenancy, with any such increase then introduced incrementally over a period of between 5 and 10 years depending upon the tenant's ability to pay.

DEPUTY R.J. WARD OF ST. HELIER CENTRAL

REPORT

We have a recognised cost of living crisis that is impacting those with the lowest incomes the most, with rental stress being the main factor for many. Some tenants of Andium Homes face a significant increase in rents following moves due to refurbishment of homes. Furthermore, some who chose to downsize may face a similar rent or even an increase. It should be noted that some of these increases in rent could be up to £400 per month.

This proposition seeks to suspend any such increases for 1 year in order that tenants may have more time to deal with the financial impact. This would include time for those drawn into an income support claim to be given advice and help in applying for this support to meet the increased rental cost. Following the initial one year rental freeze, I propose that any increase should be introduced incrementally over a reasonable period and that this should be accompanied by a review of the ability to pay.

This will be particularly important for pensioners on a fixed income and families that face significant cost increase in the current high inflation climate. It could be argued that not acting creates a benefits dependency that masks high rental levels from our social housing provider.

Unfortunately, specific numbers of those who would be aided by this proposition are not readily available and I plan to pose a written question to the Minister for Housing to ascertain these. However, I have been informally advised by that Minister that 27 tenants would be affected currently. For reference the Andium Homes 2021 Annual Report [the Annual Report] states that the number of major Refurbishments completed in 2021 was 69.1

Andium is the main social housing provider for Jersey and wholly owned by the States of Jersey. As such there is a responsibility beyond just the collection of rents and returns to government. Although I have chosen not to propose the action within this proposition, a full review of the impact of these rent rises is required as all tenants, regardless of longevity of tenure, are moved to the 80% market rate, particularly as there is no cap on private rents. The importance of the social housing rents policy is noted in the Annual Report:

Rental income is our key funding source and so the adoption of the new 80% rent policy presents a challenge to our business model. However, our business model is not reliant on rental income in isolation, it is reliant on the relationship between the social housing rents policy and the financial return we deliver to the Government each year.

The Annual Report also further indicates:

A number of our tenancies pre-date the current rent policy, and others have fallen behind 80% of market as the market has increased at a higher rate than our tenancies.

This necessarily drives rents up to unmanageable levels for tenants and this is being fully exposed by the change to tenancy agreements when tenants are moved into

¹ https://www.andiumhomes.je/wp-content/uploads/Andium-Homes-Annual-Report-2021.pdf

refurbished accommodation. It should be noted that there is little choice but to accept this increase when moving tenancy.

The report of the proposition which brought about changes to Social Housing [P.33/2013] mentioned the impact of increase in rents following refurbishment (emphasis added):

.... the achievement of Decent Homes Standards will require refurbishment of 578 properties during the 10 year period, the hidden rent subsidy will be progressively removed, but in a way that allows individual Tenants to plan for increases.

This demonstrates the need for increases to be incremental and time to be given to plan for increases.

To conclude, this proposition will remove the sudden shock of significant rent increases at a time when there is a recognition of a growing cost of living crisis. It addresses the need for incremental increase and offers the chance to review the impact of these increases on individuals. Driving people into reliance upon income support due to having a fixed income such as a pension needs to be reviewed as a policy. A clear definition of ability to pay needs to be produced and applied in these cases. This proposition offers the opportunity to take a year to do this whilst rent increases are deferred.

Children Rights Impact Assessment

An assessment of the impact of this proposition on the rights of children has been carried out and is appended to this report.

Financial and manpower implications

The cost of this proposition is unclear as the total numbers affected needs to be determined. On the basis of the information held at the time of lodging it is anticipated that 27 tenants may be affected. Therefore, the cost to the Government of Jersey should be minimal and would be primarily in the form of reduced financial returns to Government from Andium Homes.

Child Right Impact Assessment

Impact Assessment by Deputy R Ward

STAGE 1: SCREENING

Question 1: Name the measure / proposal and briefly describe its overall aim

Proposition to agree that tenants of Andium should not be charged increased rents when downsizing or moving into a refurbished property.

Question 2: What children's rights does it impact upon?

Article 3 The best interests of the child must be a top priority in all things that affect children.

Article 4 Governments must do all they can to make sure every child can enjoy their rights.

Article 6 Every child has the right to life. Governments must do all they can to ensure that children survive and develop to their full potential.

Article 27 Every child has the right to a standard of living that is good enough to meet their physical, social and mental needs. Governments must help families who cannot afford to provide this.

Question 3: What children and young people will be affected?

Any children whose families are tenants of Andium Homes Limited.

Question 4: What is the likely impact of the proposal / measure on children?

Potential to improved quality of life, health and wellbeing, and home environment

Question 5: Is a full child rights impact assessment required? Explain your reasons

Yes: this proposition has the potential to impact upon children

If a full child rights impact assessment is required proceed to stage 2

STAGE 2: SCOPING (Background and Rights Framework)

Question 6: Name the measure / proposal being assessed and describe the overall aim

Proposition to agree that tenants of Andium should not be charged increased rents when downsizing or moving into a refurbished property.

Question 7: Which	_	instruments and articles are relevant to
Human Rights Instrument	Article	Further analysis on the expected / actual effect
UNCRC	Article 3	The best interests of the child must be a top priority in all things that affect children. The Government has indicated that is wishes to include the need to involve young people in policy formulation and decision making.
UNCRC	Article 4	Governments must do all they can to make sure every child can enjoy their rights. The Government is a majority shareholder of Andium Homes Limited
UNCRC	Article 6	Every child has the right to life. Governments must do all they can to ensure that children survive and develop to their full potential. Child's home environment can impact their development.
UNCRC	Article 27	Every child has the right to a standard of living that is good enough to meet their physical, social and mental needs. Governments must help families who cannot afford to provide this. The Government is a majority shareholder of Andium Homes Limited should enable their tenants to have access to the best properties available.

STAGE 3: EVIDENCE

Question 8a: What quantitative evidence have you used to inform your assessment? What does it tell you?			
Evidence collected	Evidence source	Explanati on of the importan ce	the data
41% of single parent households with dependent children were living in States, housing trust or parish rental		proportio n of	Actual number of children in Andium homes.

accommodat		with rent	
ion		costs	
		and	
		standard	
		of	
		housing	
		being	
		relevant	
		to this	
4,500	https://andiumhomesje.azurewebsites.net/wp-		Actual
families in	content/uploads/Andium-Homes-Annual-Report-		number of
Jersey in	<u>2014.pdf</u>	large	children in
Andium		number	Andium
		of	homes.
homes in		families	
2014		in	
		Andium	
		Homes	
Thora	https://epondete.gov.ic/deteset/fe00dese.000d		Actual
	https://opendata.gov.je/dataset/fe63dcce-930d-		Actual
1560 social	4f3a-bb7f-56108f8e56d1/resource/9dddc71a-		number of
rented	d07b-4a6f-9e9d-	large	children in
households	f8b4e024ffe4/download/household-type-by-		Andium
with	<u>tenure.xlsx</u>	-	homes.
children		families	
		in social	
under 16 in		housing	
2011			
Families	https://www.andiumhomes.je/news/andium-	There is	Number of
moving into	homes-delivers-24-more-homes/	evidence	families/chil
new		that	dren moving
residence			into
rooidorioo			refurbished
			homes
		into new	11011163
200/ /		homes	
29% of	Jersey Performance framework		Updated
children	https://embed.clearimpact.com/Measure/Embed?i		figures for
living in	<u>d=99144689</u>		2021 and
households		s	2022
below the		househol	
relative low		ds below	
income		the	
threshold		relative	
after housing		low	
costs (2015)		income	
00313 (2013)		threshold	
		after	
		housing	
		costs	

Question 8b: What key missing information / evidence would have been beneficial to your analysis?

Number of children resident within Andium home tenancy.

Number of Andium tenants with children moving to refurbished properties.

Number of Andium tenants downsizing and families taking over previous property.

	at qualitative evidend at does it tell you?	ce have you used to inform your
Evidence collected	Evidence source	Explanation of the importance
, ,	Commissioner Island	Recognition by children of the need for a "nice house" and the expense of houses and flats.
garden."	Children's Commissioner Island wide consultation findings full report	The wish for children's houses to have a garden.
The quality and affordability of homes, coupled with their location and suitability to specific needs, are factors that can affect overall life satisfaction.	OECD (2009), "Comparative Child well-being across the OECD", in Doing Better for Children, OECD Publishing, Paris Referenced in Jersey Better Life Index 2018 results (page 18)	Evidence of the impact of home environment on a child

educational	
attainment and	
social behaviours	
of children	

Question 9b: What key missing information / evidence would have been beneficial to your analysis?

Discussion of the topic with children whose family are tenants of Andium.

STAGE 4: SCRUTINISING CHILDREN'S INVOLVEMENT

Question 10: Has evidence from third party consultations with children and young people been considered in the development of the proposal or measure?

Groups consulted	Source of Information	Please provide a brief description of process	What were the findings?
Children's Commissioner	Online report	Survey of Islands Children undertaken in 2018	See Q9 for relevant findings

Question 11: What groups of children and young people have been directly involved in developing the proposal or measure?			
Groups involved [] if those affected by the proposal])	How were they involved	What were the findings
No children have been d	irectly	involved in developing this	s proposition

STAGE 5: ASSESSING THE IMPACT

Question 12: What impact will (or does) the proposal or measure have on children and young people's rights

Type of impact	Justification for Argument	short/medium/long-term outcomes
Positiva	Allow families/children to move to refurbished homes without undue financial stress	Better home environmentLess financial stress
	Allow tenants to "downsize" without undue financial stress, freeing larger homes for families/children.	Better home environment

Question 13: Will there be (or are there) different impacts on different groups of children and young people?

Group of children	Initial analysis of the positive	Initial analysis of the negative
affected	impact on rights	impact on rights

Children living in	Potential to improve health	None
Andium homes	and wellbeing	

Question 14: If a negative impact is identified for any area of rights or any group of children and young people, what options are there to modify the proposal or measure to mitigate the impact?

Negative impact

What options are there to modify the measure(s) or mitigate the impact?

None identified

STAGE 6: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Question 15: In summary, what are your key findings on the impact of the measure or proposal on children and young people's rights?

This proposition will have broadly positive implications to the Rights of those children living within Andium Homes.

Further data is required to ascertain full impact.

STAGE 7: PUBLISH CRIA

Question 16: Should the full assessment or a summary be published? Will a child-friendly version be produced?

Yes, this CRIA will be published.

STAGE 8: MONITOR & REVIEW

Question 17: Have the recommendations made in Stage 6 been acted upon?

Written Question has been made in order to gain additional information required.

Question 18: Where recommendations have not been acted upon, is		
urther action required?		