STATES OF JERSEY



TACKLING FRAUD AND ERROR (R.174/2024): EXECUTIVE RESPONSE

Presented to the States on 7th March 2025 by the Public Accounts Committee

STATES GREFFE

FOREWORD

In accordance with paragraphs 69-71 of the <u>Code of Practice</u> for engagement between 'Scrutiny Panels and the Public Accounts Committee' and 'the Executive', the Public Accounts Committee (PAC) presents the Executive Response to the Comptroller and Auditor General's (C&AG) Report entitled: <u>Tackling Fraud and Error</u> (R.174/2024), presented to the States Assembly on 28th November 2024).

Deputy I. Gardiner

Chair, Public Accounts Committee

COMMENTS

The Committee has reviewed the Executive Response to the report 'Tackling Fraud and Error' and has the following comments to make in respect of it.

Action Plan – Timescale

The Committee welcomes the Government's acceptance of all the recommendations set out in the Comptroller and Auditor General's report Tackling Fraud and Error. The Committee recognises the positive steps already taken, particularly in the creation of a dedicated Counter-Fraud and Corruption Manager role and that the initial risk assessment work has started to address the first of the 20 highest fraud and error risk areas.

However, the Committee is concerned at the lack of a clear timetable or prioritisation in the Government's response, which states that completing full assessments of all 20 key risk areas will take "a number of years". This extended timeframe leaves the Government exposed to fraud and error risks in several critical areas for a potentially prolonged period.

While the Committee appreciates the need for thoroughness, it urges the Government to explore ways to accelerate its risk assessment and control testing programme. In particular:

- 1. Departments should identify and implement interim measures that can reduce the most immediate vulnerabilities while the more in-depth reviews are carried out.
- 2. The Government should set out a clear schedule for tackling the highest-risk areas first, with regular progress reports to demonstrate that momentum is maintained.
- 3. The Government should ensure that sufficient specialist resources and training are available to expedite these assessments, particularly if a shortage of expertise or capacity is a factor in the extended timeline.
- 4. As each key area is completed, both outcomes and any newly implemented controls should be reported publicly to maintain confidence and provide assurance that meaningful progress is being made.

Conclusion

The Committee is pleased that all the recommendations in the C&AG report have been accepted. It has noted, however, that there is no clear timescale to address all 20 key areas and that this work may take a number of years. As such, the Government remains exposed to risk during this time. Action should be taken by Government to mitigate this situation and produce a plan including a clear scheduled prioritisation of the areas according to the risk profile.

Chief Executive - Executive Response to C&AG Report: Tackling Fraud and Error - Response due by 30th January 2025

Summary of response:

The Chief Executive welcomes the report of the C&AG and her associated work. The report recognises the progress that has been made but now needs to be built upon, particularly in relation to more reliable data. The direction of travel for this work is very positive, with evidence of increased awareness, disclosure and identification of risks.

The response to each of the recommendations is set out below. The "Work planned that should be prioritised" or "Areas for Consideration" included in the report are noted and will be built into existing work plans. In particular:

- a training and awareness session will be offered to States Members, via the Greffier of the States;
- a training and awareness session will be offered to States Owned Entities and Arm's Length Bodies; and
- the Benefit Fraud Strategy will be incorporated into the corporate Policy and Strategy (potentially as an appendix).

Recommendations	Risk of non-implementation	Risk profile	Other considerations in	Is the recommendation	Improvement theme
		(E,H,M,L)	prioritisation	agreed?	(If applicable)
R1 Include objectives	Without more specific measurement	Low	This activity would take place	Yes	Update Policy,
related to measurement	and reporting of fraud and error it		anyway through the programme of		Strategy, Reporting
and reporting of fraud	will be difficult to evaluate the extent		Detailed Fraud Risk Assessments		and Terms of
and error within	of the risk faced by the States and		but should be formally		Reference.
the Anti-Fraud and	Government and the success of		documented.		
Corruption Policy and	counter fraud and corruption				
the Anti-Fraud and	measures being put in place.				
Corruption Strategy.					
R2 Document the	There may be a lack of clarity over	Medium	It should be noted it is already in	Yes	Update Policy,
detailed governance	whether and when information and		the Risk and Audit Committee		Strategy, Reporting
arrangements for the	issues should be disseminated or		(RAC) work forward programme		and Terms of
Anti-Fraud and	escalated.		for the Anti-Fraud and Corruption		Reference
Corruption			Annual Report to be presented.		
Management Group			This was presented in 2024 and		

Risk assessment and decision rationale

including the relationships with the Anti-Fraud and Corruption Working Group and the Risk and Audit Committee.			will be presented at the February 2025 RAC.		
R3 Develop the Fraud, Corruption and Money Laundering Annual Report further with a focus on outcomes.	There may be insufficient focus on improvement in managing risks of fraud and corruption as a result of activity undertaken.	Medium	It was always the intention to include more outcomes in the Annual Report as the programme of Detailed Fraud Risk Assessments is carried out.	Yes	Update Policy, Strategy, Reporting and Terms of Reference
R4 Prepare a high level calculation on the basis of risk to estimate the potential fraud and error risk exposure across the States.	There is a risk that the scale of the fraud and corruption risk faced by the States and Government may be underestimated if a calculation is not undertaken. However, there is also a risk of overestimating that risk if calculations are not carried out having regard to Jersey's unique circumstances and characteristics. Publication of an unreliable estimate may be counterproductive.	Medium	Each Detailed Fraud Risk Assessment within the programme results in a more detailed estimate of potential exposure to fraud and corruption. Realistically this programme will take a number of years to complete.	Yes, although the estimates will not initially be published widely until we have a high degree of confidence in those estimates and also due to potential unintended consequences, for example increasing potential fraud and corruption if known vulnerabilities are publicly exposed.	Risk assessment
R5 Prepare a prioritised plan to undertake the detailed fraud and corruption risk assessments for the 20 highest risk areas identified.	There is a risk that scarce resources may not be directed towards those areas as potentially greatest exposure to fraud and corruption.	Medium	Each Detailed Fraud Risk Assessment within the programme results in a more detailed estimate of potential exposure to fraud and corruption. Realistically this programme will take a number of years to complete. The Anti-Fraud and Corruption Management	Yes	Update Policy, Strategy, Reporting and Terms of Reference and Risk assessment

			Group will oversee prioritisation		
			and progress.		
R6 Require all	There is a risk that incidence of fraud	Medium	The Anti-Fraud and Corruption	Yes – mechanism	Risk assessment
departments to work	and corruption is not captured and		Strategy already includes a	already in place but will	
with the Counter-Fraud	scarce resource is not directed		requirement to notify the Chief	be considered as part of	
and Corruption	towards areas at greatest risk.		Internal Auditor of any fraud and	Detailed Fraud Risk	
Manager	C		corruption. Confirmation of	Assessments.	
to identify relevant data			compliance with this requirement		
on fraud and error			is sought in the annual		
incidence and how this			Governance Assurance Statement		
can be			questionnaire.		
collected in the most					
efficient way.					
R7 Develop a detailed	There is a risk that the full extent of	Medium	The Annual Report already	Yes. Already in place as	Update Policy,
analysis of fraud and	fraud and corruption incidence is not		includes information for some	part of ongoing	Strategy, Reporting
error incidence,	known and communicated, and		areas but this will be developed as	development and	and Terms of
particularly in those	consequently that scare resource is		further Detailed Fraud Risk	evolution of the Annual	Reference
departments where the	not directed towards strengthening		Assessments are carried out.	Report as further	
management of fraud	controls in priority areas.			Detailed Fraud Risk	
and error risk is a core				Assessments are carried	
activity.				out.	
R8 Review options for	There is a risk that additional	Medium	The Detailed Fraud Risk	Yes, for new controls	Risk assessment
costing individual	controls are not implemented for cost		Assessment methodology already	recommended to reduce	
controls and	reasons, and that existing controls		includes costing of additional	risk, It is not proposed	
interventions designed	may cost more to operate than the		controls recommended.	to cost all existing	
to	value of the reduction in risk			controls. However, the	
manage the risk of fraud				Fraud and Corruption	
and error, so that cost				Management Group will	
effectiveness can be				be asked to consider this	
evaluated and				as part of reviewing this	
demonstrated.				response and proposed	
				actions flowing from it.	

Prioritised improvement plan:

Action theme	Actions	Target date	Responsible Officer
Update Policy, Strategy, Reporting and Terms of Reference	 Update Policy and Strategy to include objectives related to measurement and reporting of fraud and error Update terms of reference to include detailed governance arrangements for the Anti-Fraud and Corruption Management Group including the relationships with the Anti-Fraud and Corruption Working Group and the Risk and Audit Committee 	End of September 2025	Head of Financial Governance
	 Develop the Annual Report over time to give a greater focus to outcomes and incidence of fraud and corruption. Consider including a greater emphasis on tackling error in future iterations of the Policy and Strategy, although the priority will still be fraud and corruption. Bring Benefit Fraud into the corporate Policy and Strategy (potentially as an appendix). Fraud and Corruption Management Group to consider whether the Policy and Strategy should be published externally. Present the prioritised plan for detailed fraud and corruption risk assessments to the Anti-Fraud and Corruption Management Group at least annually, including progress achieved. 		
Risk assessment	 Advise the Risk and Audit Committee on an annual basis of the outcomes of Detailed Fraud Risk Assessments, the estimate of exposure to fraud and corruption risks and the basis of those estimates. Prepare a prioritised plan to undertake the detailed fraud and corruption risk assessments for the 20 highest risk areas identified. As part of each Detailed Fraud Risk Assessments consider how identify relevant data on fraud and error incidence can be identified and how this can be collected in the most efficient way. 	End of September 2025, then annual	Head of Financial Governance
Training and awareness	 Offer a one-hour training session to States Members and make them aware of the online training. Repeat after each general election (or if new member joined through term of office). Offer and deliver training to States Owned Entities and larger Arm's Length Bodies (as listed in the Public Finances Manual). 	End of September 2025	Head of Financial Governance