STATES OF JERSEY



TEVIELKA, LA RUE DE LA HAYE DU PUITS, GROUVILLE: PROPOSED DEED OF ARRANGEMENT

Lodged au Greffe on 5th March 2007 by the Minister for Treasury and Resources

STATES GREFFE

PROPOSITION

THE STATES are asked to decide whether they are of opinion -

- (a) to agree that a deed of arrangement should be passed between the public, as the owner of Tevielka, La Rue de la Haye du Puits, Grouville, and Mr. Michael Dottore, Mrs. Maxine Dottore, née Fagan, and Mrs. Sheila Anita Fagan, née Needham, as the owners of Villa Parterre (formerly La Parterre), La Rue de la Haye du Puits, Grouville, to agree the boundary between the two properties as shown on Drawing No. 1413/07/103 with each party responsible for their own legal costs in respect of passing contract before the Royal Court; and
- (b) to authorise the Attorney General and the Greffier of the States to pass the necessary contracts on behalf of the Public.

MINISTER FOR TREASURY AND RESOURCES

REPORT

When 'Tevielka' was purchased by the Public from Jersey Hospice Care (Incorporated) on 28th June 1996, it was not possible to confirm certain boundaries with neighbouring properties. In July 2006 the States approved a deed of arrangement (P.56/2006) to confirm the northern boundary, and now agreement has been reached with the owners of the property to the west, 'La Parterre' now known as 'Villa Parterre'.

It is proposed that the blockwork wall, which separates the two properties, will form the boundary line. The northern section of this wall was built and paid for by the Public's predecessor in title and the southern section was recently built and paid for by Mrs. Audrey Vickers, née Naylor, the previous owner of 'La Parterre'. It is proposed to declare the whole of the wall between the two properties as being party-owned, thus becoming a joint liability between the parties. The Public are to be given access rights onto the top of the whole of the party wall to enable works of repair and decoration to be carried out to Tevielka when required.

Each party will meet their own legal fees in connection with drafting, agreeing and passing a deed of arrangement before the Royal Court to ratify the above-mentioned terms.

There are no additional financial implications for the States other than meeting its own costs in connection with the transaction, and there are no manpower implications.

