## **STATES OF JERSEY**



# COMPOSITION AND ELECTION OF THE STATES ASSEMBLY: REFORM – PROPOSAL 1 (P.93/2013) – AMENDMENT (P.93/2013 Amd.) – AMENDMENT

Lodged au Greffe on 14th October 2013 by Deputy E.J. Noel of St. Lawrence

### **STATES GREFFE**

#### 1 PAGE 2, AMENDMENT 1 -

For the words "45 members" substitute the words "44 members" and for the words "27 Deputies" substitute the words "26 Deputies".

#### 2 PAGE 2, AMENDMENT 3 -

In the Table showing the proposed Deputies' Constituencies, for the number "6" in the number of Deputies to be returned for Districts 1 and 2 substitute the number "5" and for the number "3" in the number of Deputies to be returned for District 5 substitute the number "4".

#### 3 PAGE 2, AMENDMENT 4 -

For the number "45" substitute the number "44" and for the number "20" substitute the number "19".

DEPUTY E.J. NOEL OF ST. LAWRENCE

#### **REPORT**

Following Senator L.J. Farnham's example, I will try to avoid repetition of the points made in the reports of his amendments and indeed in the reports of the original propositions of Senator P.F.C. Ozouf (P.93/2013) and Deputy T.M. Pitman of St. Helier (P.94/2013).

One of the fundamental elements of a sound democracy (and included by the Electoral Commission in their October 2012 interim report) is that ALL electors should have the same number of votes.

Senator Farnham's amendments addressees the Electoral Commission principles with the exception of this principle which in my opinion is not addressed satisfactorily.

By including all of the Connétables of each district in the "eligible representative per voter" for each district the data used has, in my opinion, been distorted and has resulted in the "eligible voters per representative by district" to also be distorted. The reason for this distortion is simple and can be explained as follows.

As a resident of St. Lawrence, I only have one Connétable representing the Parish I reside in. Even though the Connétables of St. Ouen, St. Mary and St. John all reside in District 5 they would not (nor would I expect them to) represent me in the States as their role is to be their own Parish representatives.

It would be for the Island wide Senators together with the super district Deputies and my own Parish Connétable to be my political representatives.

For this reason I feel compelled to propose an amendment to Senator Farnham's amendment, to amend this anomaly.

The table in the attached Appendix 1 shows the impact of my proposed amendment to Senator Farnham's original table.

I have also re-worked the figures based on "population" figures instead of using "eligible voters" and the results are extremely similar (see Appendix 2). So in reality it makes little or no difference which method is used the results are the same in trying to achieve the goal of all electors having similar number of votes.

Although some will continue to argue that St. Helier is under represented I believe these tables show that it, in fact, is not.

If one considers that District 3 has both the largest "eligible voters" and the largest "population" but under Senator Farnham's amendment will have fewer votes per constituent when compared to the St. Helier 2 districts. Similarly, the same could be said for District 4 which is larger than St. Helier's No. 2 District but under the current proposals have fewer Deputies.

Admittedly St. Helier's 2 districts will have to share the representation of their Connétable and as such there is a case to increase the Deputy representation by one for each district to accommodate this, even though it will mean that voters in the 2 St. Helier districts will each have one more representative when compared to voters in the other 4 districts.

What is clear is that if my amendment to Senator Farnham's amendment is accepted by the Assembly, then roughly every voter in Jersey will have the opportunity to select one quarter of the Island's Assembly.

I hope that members will support my amendment in order to give great equality to each voter regardless of where they live on our Island.

#### Financial and manpower implications

If the size of the Assembly is reduced by one member when compared to the proposals of Senator Farnham, then there could be a financial saving of approximately £46,000 per annum as less remuneration and expenses would be payable. There are no direct manpower implications arising from these changes, although the Electoral Commission expressed the view that a smaller Assembly would operate more effectively, and this could lead to indirect savings of officer time across public administration.

APPENDIX 1

BY ELIGIBLE VOTERS

deviation from Average	2.03%	-5.71%		11.71%		7070	3.34%		11 400/	-11.49%		0 470/	0.47%		23.20%
Difference from average	23	-65		133		0,	38		121	151-		¥	C		
Eligible pop'n per rep by district	1,163	1,075		1,274		- 1	1,178		1 000	1,009		1 145	1,143		1,140
Eligible Rep per voter	12	12		11		;	11		Ξ			-	11	44	11.33
Senators	9	9		9			0		7	0		7	0	9	
Connétables	0.5	0.5		3			7		_	4		,	7	12	
Deputies	5	5		4		,	4		-	4		-	†	26	
Total pop'n per district	13,960	12,900		14,010		0000	12,960		11	11,100		13,600	12,000	77,530	12,922
Population	13,960	12,900	7.170	3,870	2,970	10,590	2,370	4,280	2,280	1,340	3,200	8,590	4,010	77,530	
Parish	St. Helier	St. Helier	St. Clement	Grouville	St. Martin	St. Saviour	Trinity	St. Lawrence	St. John	St. Mary	St. Ouen	St. Brelade	St. Peter	Totals	Average(s)
District No.	-	2	3			4		5				9			

APPENDIX 2

BY POPULATION

Population
17,543
15,942
17,850 4
352 31
10,/30   4
17.178
14,1/0
4   1/2,51
97,820 26
16,303