## STATES OF JERSEY



# TEVIELKA, LA RUE DE LA HAYE DU PUITS, GROUVILLE: PROPOSED DEED OF ARRANGEMENT FOR SOUTHWEST BOUNDARY

Lodged au Greffe on 3rd June 2008 by the Minister for Treasury and Resources

**STATES GREFFE** 

### **PROPOSITION**

### THE STATES are asked to decide whether they are of opinion -

- (a) to agree that a deed of arrangement should be passed between the public, as owner of "Tevielka", La Rue de la Haye du Puits, Grouville, and the prospective owner(s) of number 1"Le Parterre" and Mr. Wayne Bradley Meiring as owner of number 2"Le Parterre" in order to agree the southwest boundary of "Tevielka", La Rue de la Haye du Puits, Grouville, and the properties as shown on Drawing No. 1413/08/105 with each party responsible for their own legal costs ir respect of passing contract before the Royal Court; and
- (b) to authorise the Attorney General and the Greffier of the States to pass the necessary contracts on behalf of the public.

MINISTER FOR TREASURY AND RESOURCES

#### **REPORT**

When 'Tevielka' was purchased by the Public from Jersey Hospice Care (Incorporated) on 28th June 1996, it was not possible to confirm certain boundaries with neighbouring properties. In July 2006 the States approved a Deed of Arrangement (P.56/2006) to confirm the northern boundary. In May 2007 the States approved a Deed of Arrangement (P.33/2007) to confirm the northern half of the western boundary. Now, agreement has been reached with the owners of the properties numbered 1 and 2 "Le Parterre" in order to rectify the southwest boundary of "Tevielka".

It is proposed that the existing timber fence, which separates the two properties, will form the boundary line. It is proposed to declare the whole of the fence between the two properties as being party-owned, thus becoming a joint liability between the parties.

Each party will meet their own legal fees in connection with drafting, agreeing and passing a Deed of Arrangement before the Royal Court to ratify the above-mentioned terms, as it is in both parties interests to ratify the boundary.

There are no additional financial implications for the States other than meeting its own costs in connection with the transaction, and there are no manpower implications.

