## **STATES OF JERSEY**



# MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 2016 – 2019 (P.72/2015): FOURTH AMENDMENT

Lodged au Greffe on 18th September 2015 by the Corporate Services Scrutiny Panel

**STATES GREFFE** 

# MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL PLAN 2016 – 2019 (P.72/2015): FOURTH AMENDMENT

\_\_\_\_

## PAGE 2, PARAGRAPH (a) -

For sub-paragraph (a)(ii) substitute the following sub-paragraphs –

- "(-) the total amount of States net expenditure for 2016, being the total net revenue expenditure and the total net capital allocations, as set out in Summary Table B,
- (-) the total amount of States net expenditure for 2017, being the total net revenue expenditure and the total net capital allocations, as set out in Summary Table B,
- (-) the total amount of States net expenditure for 2018, being the total net revenue expenditure and the total net capital allocations, as set out in Summary Table B,
- (-) the total amount of States net expenditure for 2019, being the total net revenue expenditure and the total net capital allocations, as set out in Summary Table B,".

CORPORATE SERVICES SCRUTINY PANEL

#### **REPORT**

Part (a)(ii) of P.72/2015, Medium Term Financial Plan 2016 - 2019 (MTFP), asks the States to approve approximately £3.1 billion of expenditure for the years 2016, 2017, 2018 and 2019 as outlined in Summary Table B.

However, details are only being provided <u>now</u>, for 2016, with the details for 2017, 2018 and 2019 to be provided in the second part of the MTFP, which will be lodged in June next year.

The Panel is extremely concerned that the approval of £3.1 billion of expenditure is being proposed, with no level of detail for years other than 2016. The Panel believes that further detail should be provided before the other years are approved.

It therefore strongly believes that Members should have the ability to vote separately on each year of expenditure as identified in Summary Table B, rather than having to vote on all 4 years *en bloc*.

That is the purpose of this amendment, which asks that Members be able to vote separately on each year.

### Financial and manpower implications

There are no manpower implications for the States arising from this amendment.