STATES OF JERSEY



DRAFT STATES OF JERSEY (AMENDMENT No. 7) LAW 201-(P.64/2013): SECOND AMENDMENT (P.64/2013 Amd.(2)) – AMENDMENT

Lodged au Greffe on 8th July 2013 by Deputy A.K.F. Green of St. Helier

STATES GREFFE

DRAFT STATES OF JERSEY (AMENDMENT No. 7) LAW 201- (P.64/2013): SECOND AMENDMENT (P.64/2013 Amd.(2)) – AMENDMENT

PAGE 2, AMENDMENT 3

For paragraph (a) substitute the following paragraph –

"(a) for the constituencies "District 1" and "District 2" and the numbers of Deputies to be returned for each of them substitute the following constituencies and numbers of Deputies –

"District 1:	5
Vingtaine de Haut du Mont au Prêtre,	
Vingtaine du Mont Cochon, and	
Vingtaine du Mont à l'Abbé,	
in the Parish of St. Helier.	
District 2:	5
Vingtaine du Rouge Bouillon, and	
Vingtaine de Bas du Mont au Prêtre,	
in the Parish of St. Helier.	
District 3:	5"
Cantons de Bas et de Haut de la Vingtaine de la Ville in the Parish of St. Helier.	

DEPUTY A.K.F. GREEN OF ST. HELIER

REPORT

Since lodging my initial amendment I have been able to obtain from the records held by the States Greffe the number of eligible voters for each Vingtaine, and in order to balance the 3 Districts as closely as possible, delete Vingtaine Haut du Mont au Prêtre from St. Helier District 2 and insert it in St. Helier District 1 as illustrated below.

District 1	Eligible
Mont Cochon	1,660
Mont à l'Abbé	5,260
Haut du Mont au Prêtre	2,210
TOTAL	9,130
District 2	
Rouge Bouillon	4,830
Bas du Mont au Prêtre	4,880
TOTAL	9,710
District 3	
Canton de Bas de la Vingtaine de la Ville	850
Canton de Haut de la Vingtaine de la Ville	7,170
TOTAL	8,020
ANALYSIS	
St. Helier total eligible voters	26,860
Division into 3 equal shares	8,953

Deviation from equality in P.64/2013 Amd.(2)			
District 1	9,130	8,953	+177
District 2	9,710	8,953	+757
District 3	8,020	8,953	-933

The intention is to have all 3 Districts as close to 8,953 eligible voters as possible; this can be adjusted further (if required), by Regulations, once the Law is passed.

Financial and manpower implications

The change in the proposed boundaries has no additional resource implications beyond those set out in my amendment (P.64/2013 Amd(2)).