STATES OF JERSEY

r

INTERCEPTION OF COMMUNICATIONS (JERSEY) LAW 1993: REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONER FOR 2006

Presented to the States on 17th April 2007

STATES GREFFE

REPORT

- 1. The Interception of Communications (Jersey) Law 1993 makes provision for and in connection with the interception of communications sent by post or by means of the public telecommunications system.
- 2. Article 2 creates the offence of unlawful interception where a person intentionally intercepts ϵ communication in the course of its transmission by post or by means of the public telecommunications system. A person is not guilty of an offence under the Article if the communication is intercepted in obedience to a warrant issued by the Attorney General under Article 3 or where alternative defences provided by Article 2(2)(b)(d) are applicable.
- 3. Applications for warrants are made to the Attorney General by the States of Jersey Police and by the States of Jersey Customs and Immigration Service. They are subject to strict requirements and controls.
- 4. By virtue of Article 3(2) the Attorney General shall not issue a warrant unless he considers that a warrant is necessary: (a) in the interests of national security; or (b) for the purpose of preventing or detecting serious crime.
- 5. Serious crime is defined in Article 1(3). An offence of serious crime is committed if, but only if: (a) i involves the use of violence, results in substantial gain or is conduct by a large number of persons in pursuit of a common purpose; or (b) the offence is one for which a person who has obtained the age of 21 years and has no previous convictions could reasonably be expected to be sentenced to a term of imprisonment of 3 years or more.
- 6. The issue and duration of warrants is covered by Article 5. A warrant shall, unless renewed, cease to have effect at the end of the relevant period, which, under paragraph 6(a), means 2 months beginning with th day on which it was issued. Under paragraph 6(2)(b), renewals are for one month, although applications may be made for further renewals.
- 7. The duties of the Commissioner are defined by Article 9 and include the responsibility of keeping under review the functions of the Attorney General, which are conferred on him by Articles 3-6, and the adequacy of any arrangements made for the purposes of Article 7.
- 8. In the discharge of my duties I have ensured that the Law Officers have made available to me all the documentation generated in relation to the requests for, and issue of, warrants for interception, including the Quarterly Reports submitted to them during the course of the year. I have examined the documentation. I have discussed the position generally with the Attorney General and with the Solicitor General. I am satisfied that the Law Officers have exercised the greatest care in the way in which they have issued their warrants.
- 9. In the course of my investigations I had a meeting with Chief Inspector Bonjour of the Police and Mr. Le Marquand, the Director of Customs. I also met the officers who participate in the mechanics or interception at the site where those operations are conducted and I viewed the facilities available to them which are to a standard approved by the Home Office in London.
- 10. I am satisfied that all those concerned in the applications for and execution of warrants have a clear and informed recognition of their obligations. Every effort is made to ensure that interception in each case does not go beyond what is strictly required to intercept communications covered by the warrant.
- 11. Furthermore I am satisfied that there exists a rigorous internal vetting procedure for those engaged in monitoring duties and there is both day-to-day and overall supervision by senior officers. I am satisfied that rules exist to ensure that intercepted material is not reproduced unnecessarily and that it is never removed from the appropriate location. I have been assured that knowledge of interceptions is kept strictly limited to those who need to know.
- 12. I am satisfied that those involved are aware that interception is rightly considered as a grave invasion of

- the privacy of an individual and that in accordance with the principle of Article 3(3), interception should only be used as a tool of last resort when all other investigative methods have either been tried and failed or have been considered and for sound reasons rejected.
- 13. In the course of my discussions with the Law Officers and with the senior officers of Police and Customs I reviewed the value of the facility. I am satisfied that interception continues to be an essential weapon for both Police and Customs in combating serious crime, and that it has proved its use to considerable effect during the course of last year.
- 14. The Commissioner has the additional duty to give to the Tribunal appointed under Article 8 such assistance as the Tribunal may require for the purpose of enabling it to carry out its functions under the Law. The Tribunal has not asked for my assistance during the year 2006.
- 15. I suggested in my Report last year, at a time when the date for the implementation of the Regulation of Investigatory Powers (Jersey) Law 2005 had not been decided, that the Report for 2005 might prove to be the last Report which I made for a full year under the Interception of Communications (Jersey) Law 1993. In the event the new Law came into effect on 10th December 2006. This Report therefore covers the year 2006 up to that date. I have the honour to have been appointed Commissioner under the new Law and so my Report for the calendar year 2007 will necessarily include three weeks of the year 2006.
- 16. I make no apology for repeating what I said in my Report last year that my experience of Commissioner during the last eight years has demonstrated the diligent approach of those who operate the facility and the conscientious way in which they and the Law Officers carry out their duties. I trust, indeed I am confident, that all those concerned are determined to ensure that the same high standards will apply to the application of the new Law; and I intend to ensure that this is so.
- 17. In accordance with the provisions of Article 9(8), it has been my practice to append to each Annua Report a Confidential Appendix providing further detail of the use of the facility. Lest the Bailiff should agree that it would be appropriate to withhold from publication those details which are necessarily sensitive and which would, if published, have a detrimental effect on the effectiveness of the facility, I attach such an Appendix for consideration by him as to whether it would be appropriate to invoke the provisions of Article 9(8).

SIR JOHN NUTTING Bt., Q.C. 30th March 2007